

## 2021 SURE Proposal Evaluation

Faculty Mentor: \_\_\_\_\_

Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Format & Cover Page	Yes / No			
Proposal in the correct format, all questions are answered, 4 pages maximum (added page ok if two students or for FQ9)?				
Responsibility statements checked on both statements?				
Clear budget listed on cover page?				
Source(s) of additional funding required to carry out the project is/are described (if needed)?				
Prior AYURE/SURE projects summarized adequately (FQ9)?				
Quality of Project Plan	Score			
Issue being addressed: provides good context; clear (FQ1)				
Project's purpose: addresses problem directly; clear (FQ2)				
Project's methodology: complete; feasible timeline; clear (FQ3)				
Budget justification I: complete; clear role in project (FQ7)				
Budget justification II: clearly support student outcomes (FQ7)				
Quality of Mentoring Plan	Score			
Student's role: clear plan; active & robust student role in multiple project parts (FQ4 & SQ1)		<b>Total Score = 0</b>  <i>(calculated automatically from section scores)</i>		
Student's qualifications: suitably prepared for the project (FQ4, SQ3)				
Learning outcomes: meaningful; robust; clear (FQ5)				
Mentoring Plan: thorough; supports learning outcomes; clear. (FQ6 & SQ4)				
Safety/Ethics (if applicable): Clear awareness of issues; good plan to address them (FQ6)				
COVID-19: adherence to campus plan (FQ8)				
Student's Statement	Score			
Consistency with mentor's plan: student displays clear grasp of roles, project's methods, & mentoring schedule (SQs 1, 2, & 4)				
Project value: project clearly connects to student's future goals. (SQ5)				
Overall Evaluation	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Unacceptable
Summary judgment of full proposal's quality				
Additional Comments, esp. any reservations (optional)		<i>(select a category above w/ a 'X')</i>		